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GENTLEMEN OF THE HISTORICAL SOCIETY OF PENNSYLVANIA:-

I am here, to-night, a citizen of Maryland, honored by your invitation to address you on the occasion of your anniversary; and the topic I have chosen is the boundary between our respective States.

Adjacent land-owners rarely take much interest in the title, quality or culture of their neighbors' fields; but they are generally sufficiently sensitive to the true location and maintenance of the division fences. I have, therefore, thought that I might count upon your patience, while I occupied my allotted hour with the history and description of Mason and Dixon's line.

There is, perhaps, no line, real or imaginary, on the surface of the earth—not excepting even the equator and the equinoctial—whose name has been oftener in men's mouths during the last fifty years. In the halls of legislation, in the courts of justice, in the assemblages of the people, it has been as familiar as a household word. Not that any particular interest was taken in the line itself; but the mention of it was always expressive of the fact, that the States of the Union were divided into slaveholding and non-slaveholding—into Northern and Southern; and that those, who lived on opposite sides of the line of separation, were antagonistic in opinion upon an all-engrossing question, whose solution, and its consequences, involved the gravest considerations, and had been supposed to threaten the integrity of the Republic. Its geographical thus became lost in its political significance; and men cared little, when they referred to it, where it ran, or what was its history—or whether it was limited to Pennsylvania, or extended, as has, perhaps, most generally been supposed, from the Atlantic to the Pacific. It suggested the idea of Negro slavery; and that, alone, was enough to give it importance and notoriety, though only as a name.

A consequence of this state of things has been to perpetuate the memory of the old surveyors who established it. A rare good fortune as regards their fame; for, while the engineers who located the road across the Simplon have been forgotten in the all-absorbing renown of the master whom they served—while, of the thousands who sail past the Eddystone, not one, perhaps, knows who it was that erected, on a crag in the midst of the sea, the wondrous lighthouse that has now defied the tempests of a century—while oblivion has been the lot of other benefactors of mankind, whose works, of every-day utility, should have been their enduring monuments—Charles Mason and Jeremiah Dixon, who, eighty-six years ago, ran a line through the forest, until the Indians forbade the further progress of chain and compass, and whose greatest merit seems to have been that of accurate surveyors, have obtained a notoriety for their names as lasting as the history of our country.

An inspection of the map of the United States shows the boundaries, in most cases, to be, either rivers, the crests of mountain ranges, parallels of latitude, or meridians of longitude. In but a single instance has the circle, with its geometrical accuracy, been employed to indicate a dividing line of contiguous States; and the inquiry at once suggests itself, why the southern frontier of Pennsylvania was not prolonged to the New Jersey shore, why the eastern one of Maryland was not made to strike it, and why a circle should be the northern boundary of Delaware—the odd result of which has been to leave so narrow a strip of Pennsylvania between...
Delaware and Maryland, that the ball of one’s foot may be in the former, the heel in the latter, while the instep forms an arch over a portion of the “Keystone State” itself. The explanation of this is closely connected with our history, and will be given as we progress with it.

On the 20th June, 1632, Charles the Second, then in the eighth year of his reign, granted to Cecilius Calvert, Lord Baron of Baltimore—

“All that part of the Peninsula, or chersonese, lying in the parts of America between the ocean on the east, and the Bay of Chesapeake on the west, divided from the residue thereof by a right line, drawn from the promontory or headland called Watkin’s Point, situate upon the Bay aforesaid, and near the river of Widgeo on the west, unto the main ocean on the east, and between that boundary on the south, and that part of the Bay of Delaware on the north, which lieth under the fortieth degree of latitude, where New England terminates.”

At this early day, the great States of Pennsylvania and New York had no existence in any shape, and the northern boundary of Maryland was the southern boundary of New England. Within the latter, New Plymouth had been planted in 1620, and Massachusetts Bay in 1629. In Maryland, the only settlements were those made by William Claiborne, in 1631, on Kent Island, in the Chesapeake. The name of Claiborne, in connection with Maryland, suggests at once an episode of romantic interest. The great living historian of our country, who first mentions him as "a man of resolute and enterprising spirit," introduces him into the narrative of events with dramatic power, when he describes the landing of Leonard Calvert at St. Mary’s, in 1634, and adds, that "Claiborne also appeared, though as a prophet of ill omen, to terrify the company by predicting the fixed hostility of the natives.” Afterwards, when dwelling on the "auspices under which the Province of Maryland started into being," the same historian says: "Everything breathed peace but Claiborne." Again, he calls him "the malignant Claiborne;" again, "the restless Claiborne;" and even when mentioning his favorable reception by Charles the Second, on his visit to England attributes it, in part, to "his false representations." Chalmers, largely quoted by Bancroft, styles Claiborne "the evil genius of Maryland," and speaks of him as one who seemed "to have been born to be the bane of the province;" and other historians, taking their cue from Chalmers, place him in the category of unscrupulous men, the exhalations of unsettled periods. McMahon alone speaks not unkindly of him; and yet, even McMahon calls him "the notorious William Claiborne." But, twenty-four years is a long while for mere bravado and intrigue, in a bad cause, to maintain possession of the public mind; and it is difficult to believe that Claiborne, who, unquestionably, occupied it for this length of time, had not a better claim, and was not a better and truer man than historians, thus far, have been willing to admit. The accounts that we possess of him, unfortunately for his memory, have been transmitted by his political opponents. The untiring adversary of Lord Baltimore, his reputation has been made to suffer, that the other’s praise might be exaggerated. But the time will arrive, it is hoped, when his memory will be relieved from the imputations of contemporary partisans, and when the truth will be known in regard to him; and when he will be recognized as the brave soldier, the gallant gentleman, acute in council, whom danger could not turn aside nor defeat dishearten—the statesman of the wilderness, the attained of the proprietary government, only to become, in turn, the commissioner of the Commonwealth of England to subjugate the province, from which he had been driven as a rebel; and who, for a quarter of a century, whether in power or out of power, exercised an influence, or inspired a dread, due alone to "his unceasing efforts to maintain, by courage and address, the territory which his enterprise had discovered and planted."
But Claiborne's claims had no ultimate effect upon the boundaries of Maryland; nor would they now be alluded to, save that no sketch, however rapid, of Maryland affairs, during his lifetime, would be complete, wherein his name chanced to be omitted.

Trouble, however, was brewing for Lord Baltimore, in regard to boundary, in another quarter. Godyn, a Hollander, had purchased from the natives a body of land, extending for thirty miles northwardly from Cape Henlopen. This was in 1629; and in 1631, De Vries, another Hollander, planted a colony and built a fort within the territory, calling it Swaanendael, not far from the present site of Lewistown. Not long afterwards, the Indians destroyed the settlement, put the inhabitants to death, and repossessed themselves of the land. They only covered up, however, they did not eradicate, a seed that was one day to germinate and grow, until it bore bitter fruit for the Lord Proprietary of Maryland.

When, therefore, Leonard Calvert arrived at St. Mary's, in 1634, the soil within the limits of the charter was in the possession of the natives, Claiborne's plantations alone excepted; and, had he made a settlement on the eastern shore of the Peninsula, there would, in all probability, never have been a State of Delaware. But in 1638, a company of Swedes and Finns, under the auspices of Chancellor Oxenstiern, repurchased from the natives the land formerly sold to the Dutch, and built a fort at the mouth of Christina Creek, which they occupied until 1655, when an invading force from New Amsterdam, under Peter Stuyvesant, established the Dutch rule, and carried back the Dutch title, by relation, to the purchase by Godyn, and the settlement by De Vries at Swaanendael.

In 1659, Lord Baltimore seems to have become uneasy about the increase of the Dutch power in Delaware, and he sent instructions to Maryland to have the matter looked to. Fendall was then governor. An embassy was resolved on, as a preliminary to the severer measures recommended by the Proprietary; and Colonel Nathaniel Utie, whose name is still preserved in the Island of Spes-Utiæ, at the mouth of the Susquehanna, headed a deputation to "the pretended people" across the Peninsula, informing them that "they were seated within His Lordship's Province without notice." But these "people" were in possession of the land by conquest; they held the Swedish forts, and the fair fields around them, as victors; and Utie's whole force consisted but of six followers: so that, although the ambassador delivered his message "in a pretty harsh and bitter manner," they took no heed of it, but disregarded wholly what they termed his "frivolous demands and bloody threatenings." Nor did the college of the Dutch West India Company, in Europe, to whom Lord Baltimore then appealed, lend a more attentive ear, and especially was it regardless of the plea that the Dutch claim, based on purchase and possession, was no better than Claiborne's, which had been disallowed. As the world went, however, in those days—whether it has mended since is questionable—there was a great difference in the two cases. Claiborne was a single individual, with little but his talent, energy, and the justice of his claims to rely on. The Dutch West India Company were rich and powerful; and their reliance was in forts and cannon, and soldiers; and that this was a most important difference, the Marylanders seem to have admitted; for their efforts to save the Peninsula rarely went beyond embassies and remonstrances; and no change was effected in the relations of the parties, on the debatable ground, until the Duke of York took possession of New Amsterdam and its dependencies, the Dutch settlements on the Peninsula, under a grant from Charles the Second, in 1664. This gave Lord Baltimore an English ruler on the Delaware for a neighbor, with whom there seems to have been peaceable intercourse for some years. But in July, 1673, the Dutch repossessed themselves of the New Netherlands, and held them for fifteen months, during which time the Marylanders marched to Swaanendael with an armed force. This expedition, however, though more formidable than Colonel Utie's embassy, does not appear to have had better ultimate results; for, in 1674, we find the King confirming his
previous grants to the Duke of York, and learn that the west bank of the Delaware, on the Peninsula, was looked upon as his property by everybody, except Lord Baltimore and the Marylanders.

And now, after a few years, a new actor appeared upon the stage; and we find William Penn obtaining a grant of land, westward of the Delaware, and northward of Maryland, on the 4th March, 1681. A part of his southern boundary was to be "a circle drawn at twelve miles distant from Newcastle northward, and westwards unto the beginning of the 40th degree of northern latitude;" and to the difficulty of tracing this circle do we owe Mason and Dixon's presence in America.

In August, 1681, Penn received, through his agent and kinsman, Markham, from the Governor of Newcastle, "that extensive forest," quoting the language of Chalmers, "lying twelve miles northward of Newcastle on the western side of the Delaware;" and early in the following year, Markham met Lord Baltimore at Upland, now Chester, to settle the boundaries of the two provinces. Upland was believed to be north of the Maryland line; but an observation having shown that it was twelve miles to the south of it, Penn's agent refused to act further, and returned to England to report to his principal.

Now Penn, from the beginning, had been dissatisfied with his province, inasmuch "as he found it lying backwards," and the passage up Delaware Bay "a place of difficult and dangerous navigation, especially in the winter season;" and he had accordingly "continually solicited the Duke of York, though in vain, for a grant of the Delaware colony." "But, at length"—I use the words of Chalmers—"wearied with solicitation, or hoping for benefit from a possession which had hitherto yielded him none, the prince conveyed, in August, 1682, as well the town of Newcastle, with a territory of twelve miles around it, as the tract of land extending southward from it, upon the river Delaware to Cape Henlopen." The discovery of the true latitude at Upland made this grant more than ever important to Penn; and with the title it conferred, such as it was, he came to America, and took possession of the territory on the 28th October, 1682.

And so, the seed sown at Swaanendael, and covered up and trodden upon by the Indians, and watered with blood, had germinated; and a fair tree, with spreading branches, which neither Utie, nor the foray of 1673, had been able to uproot, had arisen from it, and Penn was reposing in its shade, on the banks of the broad river that flowed past it. And so, Delaware was lost to Maryland.

But this, though the ultimate result, was not accomplished without resistance on the part of Lord Baltimore. The king, in council, was appealed to. The matter was referred to the Committee of Trade and Plantations. The two proprietors appeared before it. There was an eager controversy, in which Lord Baltimore relied on his original grant, and Penn on the fact that such grant expressly reserved cultivated lands, and consequently the settlement of Swaanendael and its results. Finally, the Committee, following a common practice in arbitrations, split the difference, directing the Peninsula, north of a line west from Cape Henlopen, to be divided between the parties; and so Penn obtained a road to his too-backward-lying province just as wide and as long as the present State of Delaware, with a title dating back to Godyn and De Vries.

This was on the 13th of November, 1685, when the Duke of York, under whom Penn claimed, was King. Charters were of small consideration, and there was a quo warranto out against that of Maryland. Lord Baltimore's policy was submission. The tide was against him. At last it turned. But it placed a Protestant upon the throne, and was followed by a sectarian
tempest in Maryland that prostrated the proprietary government, and threw the province into the hands of the crown, by which its affairs were administered until 1716. Penn was not much better off in these times than Lord Baltimore. Pennsylvania, like Maryland, was taken from the proprietor, and although soon restored to him, yet he, as well as his neighbors, had cogent reasons for postponing the controversies about boundary.

On the accession of Queen Anne, Penn was able to obtain an order in council on the 23rd of June, 1708, for the enforcement of the decision of 1685, but nothing was done under it, and in 1718 he died; and in February, 1723, we find Mistress Penn making an agreement with Lord Baltimore to preserve peace upon the borders for eighteen months, in the expectation that during this time the boundaries could be settled. But border feuds are not to be stayed by parchments; and things seemed to have reached a pass that made it necessary for the proprietors to address themselves in earnest to the adjustment of their differences; and accordingly, on the 10th of May, 1732, a deed was executed between the children and devisees of Penn and the great grandson of the first Lord Baltimore, stipulating, in effect, for a line due west from Cape Henlopen, across the Peninsula, from whose center another line should be drawn tangent to a circle twelve miles from Newcastle, while a meridian from the tangent point should be continued to within fifteen miles from Philadelphia, whence should be traced the parallel of latitude westward that was to divide the provinces. Should the meridian cut a segment from the circle, the segment was to be a part of Newcastle County. This parallel of latitude is the Mason and Dixon's line of history.

Attached to this agreement was a small map, well known as Lord Baltimore's map. It represented the general features of the country in relation to the boundary; and the outline of the State of Delaware is marked on it in red lines, supposed to have been drawn by Lord Baltimore himself. One looks with some interest on these red lines, and recollects their potency. A King, remarkable in history mainly through the circumstances of his death upon the scaffold, had granted to a subject what it cost the monarch nothing to acquire—the homes, across the sea of a free and brave people, whose hospitality and unsuspecting confidence alone made the grant available; and, with royal magnificence, had bounded his gift by parallels of latitude, the courses of mighty rivers, and the headlands of ocean; and the subject, with scale and compasses, apportioned his territory with his neighbors, settled the lines of what were to become adjacent sovereignties, and thus accelerated the progress of those events which, at length, extinguished the council-fires at which his ancestors had warmed themselves when they were strangers in the land, and whose last faint blaze was fed with the unstrung bows and blunted arrows of the forest princes of the Peninsula. One looks with interest, we say, on handiwork so trifling, when it becomes so potent for results; and the map, in reality, subsequently became of great significance.

But it was one thing to execute the deed of 1732 on parchment, and another thing to execute it on the disputed territory.

In the first place, there was a difficulty in fixing the point in Newcastle that was to be the center of the circle. In the next place, it was questioned whether the twelve miles were to be a radius or the periphery; and lastly, there was a doubt about the true Cape Henlopen. The result was to suspend proceedings under the deed.

And now, Lord Baltimore did what neither improved his cause nor bettered his reputation. Treating his own deed as a nullity, he asked George the Second for a confirmatory grant according to the terms of the charter of 1632. It was very properly refused, and the parties were referred to the Court of Chancery; and here Lord Hardwicke decided, in effect, that the true
Henlopen was the point insisted on by the Penns; that the center of the circle was the middle of Newcastle, as near as it could be ascertained; and that the twelve miles were a radius and not the periphery. This was in 1750. Other difficulties now arose. It was important to Lord Baltimore to shorten, if possible, the statute mile; and the mode his friends adopted was to measure it on the surface of the ground, and not horizontally. So Lord Hardwicke was again applied to, and horizontal measurements were adopted. This was in March, 1751. Still, things were not clear. The shorter the line across the Peninsula—its beginning on the Delaware side being fixed—the better for Lord Baltimore, for the nearer would the center of it be to the river. And so here, again, his friends came to his aid, and insisted that Slaughter's Creek, a channel separating Taylor's Island from the Chesapeake, gave the western terminus. But the Penns demanded that the line should be continued to the Bay shore itself, from which the broad waters of the great estuary stretched, unbroken by headland or island, to the remote and dim horizon. And again was Lord Hardwicke referred to. But, in the mean time, Lord Baltimore died, and the suit abated, and the whole proceedings fell to the ground. When they were revived, and the heir of Lord Baltimore was made a party to them, new difficulties were presented in his refusal to be bound by the acts of his ancestor. If, however, there was anything that could equal the faculty of the Marylanders in making trouble in this long lawsuit, it was the untiring perseverance with which the Penns devoted themselves to the contest, and followed their opponents in all their doublings. And they had their reward; for, on the 4th of July, 1760, another deed was executed, under which the controversy was finally closed.

It is not intended here to discuss the quantum of blame proper to be attached to the parties respectively, who, from time to time, figured in these transactions. The inquiry is not germain to the matter in hand, and would be otherwise unprofitable. When the actions of the dead are made a shibboleth of party, their examples become practically useless as historical teachings. The attempt to exhume the details of buried periods of religious or high political excitement, creates too often, as experience has shown, a cloud of human passions above the living laborers, which obscures the truth to the eyes of the present generation. If the title of the elder Penn, derived from the Duke of York, which rested on the conquest of Peter Stuyvesant, which in its turn, went back to the purchase by Godyn and the obliterated settlement of De Vries—if this title was an indifferent one, inconsistent as it was with the terms of the grant to Lord Baltimore; and if the bisection of the Peninsula, at Penn's instance, by the Committee of Trade and Plantations, had more in it of convenience than justice, yet the successive Lords Proprietary of Maryland, as this rapid sketch has shown, were, perhaps, quite as loose in their attempts to preserve their territory as their opponents had been in the proceedings that gave them foothold upon it. The truth probably is, that the Penns and Lord Baltimore had not less land-greed, because their possessions were estimated in square miles, than is common to those who count by square feet only. With them, the affair was a business one, and they treated it so throughout. The elder Penn and the first Lord Proprietary of Maryland owe their prominence in American history to considerations remote from the merits of the minor questions here discussed. The principles upon which governments are founded, and not the extent of territory they affect, or the mode of its acquisition, mainly attract to them the attention of mankind.

The temptation is strong to fill up the meager outline here given of the boundary controversy, between Pennsylvannia and Maryland, with some details of the border life of the period in question. But time does not permit. The prose and poetry of Scott have made the borders of Scotland immortal. The same great novelist would have found in the feuds of the Peninsula, and along the northern confines of Maryland, as ample materials for his genius to combine, as much diversity of character and as thrilling incident, as magnificent scenery, and as wild adventure, as were furnished him by the history of his native land. The Catholic gentleman of Maryland, gallant, brave, and impetuous—his battle-cry "Hey for Saint Marie's!"—the stern
uncompromising Puritan, shouting as he fought, "In the name of God, fall on." The Swedes and
the Hollanders,—and, among the Indians, the Susquehannas, and the Minquaas, and the
Delawares, were all active in the strife that prevailed for a long series of years. Nor was it
confined to individuals. Cresap's quarrel involved the provinces in what was almost open war;
and, in "the Case stated," that has more than once been resorted to in the preparation of this
address, it is charged that, on the death of Gordon, the Governor of Pennsylvania, in 1736, "the
invasions from Maryland became more terrible and more frequent." The troubles at the manor
of Nottingham, near Chester, brought Hart, the Governor of Maryland, and Keith, the Governor
of Pennsylvania, with their respective retinues of armed men, together upon the scene; and,
indeed, there was hardly a settlement upon the boundary, or near to it, that had not its attendant
narrative of romantic interest. Then, again, there were the time-servers of those days, the men
who "carried water on both shoulders," to use the phrase that has come down to us, and, with a
patent from Lord Baltimore, and a grant from Penn, obtained exemption from all service, by
being Marylanders when called upon from Pennsylvania, and Pennsylvanians when Maryland
had need of them.

These are themes for the future novelist, however, rather than the historian. They had but
small influence, if any, on the general current of public affairs; and they are referred to only for
the purpose of showing that too much importance was not attached to the settlement of the
boundary between the provinces. To this we will now return.

The commissioners appointed under the deed of 1760 addressed themselves, at once, to
the completion of the peninsular east and west line, and to tracing the twelve mile circle—
appointing to this end the best surveyors they could obtain. The mode of proceeding was to
measure with the common chain, holding it as nearly horizontal as they could, the direction
being kept by sighting along poles, set up in what they called Vistos, cut by them through the
forest. The original field-notes of these surveys are preserved in the Maryland Archives, and do
credit to the parties.

But the progress made was very slow; and, at the end of three years, little more was
accomplished than the peninsular line and the measurement of a radius. This seems to have
disappointed the expectations of the Proprietors, for we find that, on the 4th of August, 1763, the
Penns, Thomas and Richard, and Lord Baltimore, then being together in London, agreed with
Charles Mason and Jeremiah Dixon, "two mathematicians or surveyors," "to mark, run out,
settle, fix, and determine all such parts of the circle, marks, lines, and boundaries, as were
mentioned in the several articles or commissions, and were not yet completed." And, thus,
Mason and Dixon appear upon the scene, leaving England towards the close of August, and
landing at Philadelphia on the 15th of November, 1763. They began their work at once. They
adopted the peninsular east and west line of their predecessors, the radius and the tangent
point. This left them the tangent, from the middle point of the peninsular line, to "the tangent
point," the meridian from thence to a point fifteen miles south of the most southern part of the
city of Philadelphia, with the arc of the circle to the west of it, the fifteen mile distance, and the
parallel of latitude westward from its termination, to ascertain and establish.

They brought to their task, we may suppose, more perfect instruments, and more accurate
mathematical knowledge, than the previous surveyors. But, so far as the work of these last
went, Mason and Dixon do not seem to have mended it; for they record, in their proceedings of
November 13, 1764, that the true tangent line, ascertained by themselves, "would not pass one
inch to the westward or eastward" of the post marking the tangent-point set in the ground by
those whom they superseded; so that, after all, the sighting along poles, and the rude chain-
measurements of 1761 and 1762, would have answered every purpose, had the proprietors only thought so.

Having verified the tangent-point, they proceeded to measure, on its meridian, fifteen miles from the parallel of the most southern part of Philadelphia, the north wall of a house on Cedar Street occupied by Thomas Plumstead and Joseph Huddle. They thus ascertained the northeastern corner of Maryland, which was, of course, the beginning of the parallel of latitude that had been agreed upon as the boundary between the provinces.

On the 17th of June, 1765, they had carried the parallel of latitude to the Susquehanna, and thereupon received instructions to continue it "as far as the provinces of Maryland and Pennsylvania were settled and inhabited."

On the 27th of October, they had reached the North Mountain, and they record in their journal that they got Captain Shelby to go with them to its summit, "to show them the course of the Potomac," when they found that they could see the Allegheny Mountain for many miles, and judged it, "by its appearance, to be about fifty miles distance, in the direction of the line."

On the 4th of June, in the following year, 1766, we find them on the summit of the Little Allegheny, and at the end of that summer's work. The Indians were now troublesome, and they were masters in the woods.

In 1767, the surveyors began operations on the parallel of latitude, late. A negotiation with the Six Nations was necessary, which Sir William Johnson had promised to conduct, and this was not concluded before May; so that it was not until the 8th of June that the surveyors reached their halting-place of the preceding year, on the summit of the Little Allegheny. On the 14th of June they had advanced as far as the summit of the Great Allegheny, where they were joined by an escort of fourteen Indians, with an interpreter, deputed by the Chiefs of the Six Nations to accompany them. And so the Indian becomes their protector against the Indian, as they mark the boundary of the sovereignties that, before long, are to obliterate the very memory of their aboriginal possessors. And the escort seem to have had some vague apprehension in regard to the results of all this gazing into the heavens, and measuring upon the earth, and to have become restless and dissatisfied; and, on the 25th of August, the surveyors note that "Mr. John Green, one of the chiefs of the Mohawk nation, and his nephew, leave them, in order to return to their own country." The roving Indians of the wilderness, regardless of the escort, begin also to give the party of white men uneasiness; and on the 29th of September, twenty-six of the assistants quit the work for fear of the Shawnees and Delawares. Mason and Dixon have now but fifteen axemen left with them; but, nothing disheartened, they send back to Fort Cumberland for aid, and push forward with the line. At length they reach a point, two hundred and forty-four miles from the river Delaware, and within thirty-six miles of the whole distance to be run. And here, in the bottom of a valley, on the borders of a stream, marked Dunkard Creek on their map, they come to an Indian war-path, windsing its way through the forest. And here, their Indian escort tell them, that it is the will of the Six Nations that the surveys shall be stayed. There is no alternative but obedience; and, retracing their steps, they return to Philadelphia, and, reporting all these facts to the commissioners under the deed of 1760, receive an honorable discharge on the 26th of December, 1767. Subsequently, and by other hands, the line was run out to its termination; and a cairn of stones, some five feet high in the dense forest, now marks the termination of Mason and Dixon's line, calling by that name the southern boundary of Pennsylvania; and, standing on the cairn, and looking to the east and north, a fresher growth of trees in these directions indicates the ranges of the vistas, so often mentioned. But mount the highest tree adjacent to the cairn, that you may note the highest mountain within
the range of vision, and then, ascending its summit, take in the whole horizon at a glance, and
seek for a single home of a single descendant of the sylvan monarchs, whose war-path limited
the surveys, and you will seek in vain. But go back to the cairn, and listen there, in the quiet of
the woods, and a roll, as of distant thunder, will come unto the ear, and a shrill shriek will pierce
it, as the monster and the miracle of modern ingenuity—excluded from Pennsylvania as
effectually, by the line we have described, as the surveyors of old were stayed by the Indian
war-path—rushes around the southwestern angle of the State, on its way from the city which
perpetuates the title of the Lord Proprietary of Maryland, to find a breathing-place on the Ohio,
in the "Pan-handle" of Virginia.

The lines, whose history has thus been given, were directed to be marked in a particular
manner, both by the agreements of the parties, and the decree of Lord Hardwicke; and the
surveyors accordingly planted, at the end of every fifth mile, a stone, graven with the arms of the
Penns on the one side, and of the Baltimore family on the other, marking the intermediate miles
with smaller stones, having a $P$ on one side, and an $M$ on the other. The stones with the arms
were all sent from England. This was done on the parallel of latitude as far as Sideling Hill: but
here, all wheel transportation ceasing in 1766, the further marking of the line was the vista of
eight yards wide, with piles of stone on the crests of all the mountain ranges, built some eight
feet high, as far as the summit of the Allegheny, beyond which the line was marked with posts,
around which stones and earth were thrown, the better to preserve them.

The map of the line was not completed for some time after the field work terminated. It was
then engraved, and copies were distributed among the parties interested. The Maryland copy I
have seen. It represents the line, with the country on either side—the width of the engraving
being about an inch and a half—beginning at Cape Henlopen and extending to the Indian war-
path. The crossings of streams, mountain-ranges, and roads are carefully marked. The road-
crossings are quite numerous on the Peninsula — beyond the Allegheny there are but two, one
of which is lettered "Braddock's Road." Houses, where they occur, are designated with their
distances from the line, and are not unfrequent as far as the Susquehanna. But the
topographical, conventional sign for forest, and thick woods, is, after all, that which gives
character to the general appearance of the map.

The history of Mason and Dixon's Line has thus been brought to a close; and before parting
with those whose names have become so familiar, it would be pleasant to add some information
in regard to their individual character and personal appearance. But the most careful search
has furnished no data on these points. Their journal is the most naked of records. The only
thing for fancy, even, to draw inferences from, is their handwriting, and I confess to having
studied all their autographs, the hope of voicing them. But they are almost as silent as the
stars, whose positions they were employed, night after night, in noting. Still, they are not wholly
dumb. Mason's signature is a remarkably good one—written slowly and carefully, and with very
great uniformity in its size, which is that of common, full, running hand. The Christian name is
abbreviated to Cha: with a colon to indicate the abbreviation; and in writing the surname, a dot
has always been patiently made, from which to start the first hairstroke of the M. The remaining
letters are written in couples. In no signature, of many hundred, has the entire surname been
written without taking the pen twice from the paper. It is the same, whether recording the arrival
in Philadelphia from England, or noting the desertion of a majority of the assistants for fear of the
Indians.

I infer, from these small hints, that Mason was a cool, deliberate, painstaking man, never in
a hurry; a man of quiet courage, who crossed the Monongahela with fifteen men, because it was
his duty to do so, though he would have much preferred thrice the number at his heels. Dixon's
signature tells a different story somewhat. He began by making it as goodly, nearly, as Mason’s and of about the same size. But this was evidently an effort. All he seems to have cared to do was to put something on paper that would indicate his presence. At times, his x is two c’s placed back to back; again, it is the roughest cross. Occasionally, his signature is very small; again, it is as large and sprawling as a schoolboy’s’ from all which, I infer that he was a younger man, a more active man, a man of an impatient spirit and a nervous temperament, just such a man as worked best with a sober-sided colleague.

It is cheerfully admitted that all this is very idle speculation; and the only excuse for its introduction is a desire to vary, in some small degree, the dullness of a narrative, affording so few events of striking interest as that we are engaged in.

There is another chapter, however, in the history of this celebrated Line. In the course of time, the stone which marked the northeast corner of Maryland was underminded by a brook, and, falling down, was removed and build into the chimney of a neighboring farm-house. When it was missed, the Legislatures of the States of Pennsylvania, Maryland, and Delware, took the matter in hand, and a joint commission was appointed, which, obtaining the services of Lieutenant-Colonel James D. Graham, a distinguished officer of Topographical Engineers of the United States, caused the work of Mason and Dixon to be reviewed as far as was necessary.

To this end, the twelve mile radius was once more measured; the tangent point and point of intersection were re-located; the meridian and parallel of latitude were run, in part, so as to find their intersection; and the corner-stone was again satisfactorily and permanently set.

Colonel Graham’s work corroborated, in all important particulars, the work of his predecessors. Some errors were discovered, however. The tangent point had been placed 157.6 feet too far to the north, and the point of intersection 143.7 feet too far to the south. There was an error, also, in tracing the curve between the two points, the correction of which made the State of Maryland one acre and eighty-seven hundredth parts of an acre larger than Mason and Dixon left the province of the same name. The very able report of Colonel Graham, in which all these matters are stated, was made in 1850, and has been referred to, frequently, in the preparation of this address. And now, the Mason and Dixon’s Line, of common parlance, begins at a “triangular prismatic post of granite,” with the letters M D and P on the sides, respectively, facing the States to which these letters refer, with the names of the late commissioners, Key, Eyre, and Riddle, and the date, 1849, cut deep on the north side under the letter P. This stone is upon land belonging to William Johnson, in a deep ravine, on the margin of a small brook and near its source; and, from this beginning, the line stretches far westward, over mountain and valley, flood and fell, to its western end, the cairn of stones in the forest.

And thus, having brought our narrative down from 1629, when the purchase by Godyn furnished the remote cause of Mason and Dixon’s appointment, to 1850, when Colonel Graham made his report, we have arrived, in truth, at the end of our history: but we cannot leave the subject without a few words, suggested by one of the earliest entries in Mason and Dixon’s journal.

It is there recorded that, in November, 1763, they employed a carpenter to build an observatory at the southern part of the city of Philadelphia. It did not take long to erect it, for we soon find them at work there; and on the 6th of January, 1764, they determined its latitude to be 39° 56’ 29.1” north; and this was their first astronomical calculation in America; and humble and temporary as the building may have been in which it was made, it was the first on the continent devoted exclusively, on its erection, to the purposes of astronomical science. From the latitude,
thus determined, they found the commencement of the parallel to which they were to give their names; and in 1764, they began, as we have seen, their slow march along it, just ninety years ago, not longer than a man may live; and in 1765, they climbed the summit of the North Mountain, that they might judge of the course of the Potomac. To the eastward, stretching far to the right and left, were the densely wooded slopes of the Blue Ridge, scarred in their midst by the naked rocks that marked the outlet of the vast lake that once covered what is now the Valley of Virginia, and which had shrunk, as its waters rushed to the ocean through the gap, into the rivers Potomac and Shenandoah. To the westward, parallel ranges of mountains extended as far as the eye could reach, the depressions on whose crests suggested the places where the Potomac intersected them, and so furnished to the surveyors some rude notion of the topography of the region. Indications of civilized man were rare around, and the most striking of these was the fortress among the hills, whose gray walls of solid masonry are still visible on the banks of the river, in the ruins of Fort Frederick. In 1767 the surveyors reached the warpath; and, at the Indian’s bidding, they retraced their steps, and looked back from the western slope of the first mountain they ascended on their homeward journey, they recognized no sign of civilization, and knew of none towards which their labors would have led them, had they been permitted to proceed.

They, probably, were not imaginative men, and it is not likely that they indulged in many reflections as to the future of the world of mountain and forest and boundless plains, on which they thus turned their backs, on their way to their observatory in Philadelphia. But, had they been as poetical as Darwin, who anticipated the advent of steam to “Drag the slow barge, or drive the rapid car;” or, as prophetic as Bishop Berkeley, in the vision, in which he exclaims, “Westward the course of empire takes its way——” it is not probable they would have foreseen that, when, eighty-two years later, their work came to be reviewed, it would be by an officer of the army of a Republic of twenty-three millions of inhabitants—a Republic whose rapid development, in all that constituted the true greatness of a people, would be the wonder of the world—a Republic whose capital, with its stately edifices, would be reflected in the waters of the river; whose devious way they had just sought to trace; and which would number among its marble piles, an observatory, adding new planets to our system, while its astronomers and mathematicians taught man the order of the winds, that they might bear him more certainly across the sea. Would they have foreseen that, not here alone, in the capital, would the skies find readers, but that an observatory, one only of many like it in the Republic, would crown the summit of a hill, looking down on a great city near three hundred miles westward of the war-path so frequently referred to; an observatory, whose corner-stone would be laid by one who had been the President of the Republic, of which his father had been the President before him, and whose walls would arise in comeliness and strength, to inclose all the costly appliances which science and art might place within man’s reach to enable him to explore the recesses of the heavens. As poets and dreamers even, such imaginings as these were, in all likelihood, beyond their extremest vision. And suppose they had been told that lightning, which Franklin had then but recently rendered innocuous, was to become man’s active, daily, and domestic friend, transmitting his thoughts, visibly, faster than his mind could think, so as to require him to prepare, beforehand, the work his agent was to do; and that, among others of its wondrous performances, it would make the clock, as it beat its seconds in the western observatory, impart isochronism to other clocks beyond the mountains, enabling, at the same time, the watchers of the star to whisper, in the silence of the night, their discoveries to comrade gazers a thousand miles away. Had such things as these been told to Mason and his colleague, they might well have supposed themselves in a madman’s company, or listening to the thousand and second tale of Scheherezade. And yet, the incredible of 1767 is the schoolboy’s learning of to-day.
Equally startled would they have been, could the story of the Revolution, then so near at hand, have been foretold to these servants of the Lord Proprieter of Maryland and the Proprietors of Pennsylvania, who never spoke of their immediate superiors in office excepts as “the gentlemen commissioners,” and in the deferential and obsequious spirit that was so soon to disappear. But more astonished still can we imagine them, could they have been told, that the results of this revolution having been power, and might, and majesty, and boundless prosperity, of which every individual in the land was a participant, the Line they ran would grow into consequence, and be regarded with dread, as fierce intemperate men, with small pride in the past, and less care for the future, spoke of it as a line to be studded with fortresses from end to end, on opposite sides of which hostile nations would be arrayed in arms. But if, with the license of the occasion, we may suppose such things to have been suggested to them, we can, at the same time, imagine their reply, and we can almost hear them saying: “These uses, to which you put the lightning; this erection of cities on river shores, in Indian lands; this tale of battle, and bloodshed, and victory; this dethroning of monarchs and uplifting of their subjects, are astounding results that we cannot appreciate, for we see no elements to produce them, and they shock all the prejudices of our education. To time we leave their development. But, that a people blessed beyond all others, in their realization, if realized they are to be, and occupying the proudest place among the nations, because of their wondrous unity, under a government that extent of dominion enfeebles not—should willingly permit their Union to be dissolved, we cannot believe; because, here, we are dealing, not with the future of science or politics, but, with the principles of humanity common to all ages; and, depend upon it, whatever the few may wish, the many will be true; and this, our line of survey, will, after all, owe its notoriety to ephemeral oratory, in which it figures as a mere phrase of cant, or to addresses, which will bring to light the few brief records we have left of our transactions.”

And these, the words which we have put into the mouths of Mason and Dixon, for the sake of the unity of our discourse, we doubt not, will be words of prophecy, as regards the destiny of our country; and that time, which has developed the excitement that has given prominence to the Line in question, will furnish, in due season, the solution of present difficulties; and that, while the Mason and Dixon’s Line of geography will continue to be that whose heraldic insignia are still to be found in field and forces, the Mason and Dixon’s Line of politics will gradually change its position until, as cloud-shadows pass, leaving earth in sunlight, we shall be seen, of all, to be a united and homogeneous people, not in this generation, or the next, or the next, but still, at an early day, looking to what we believe, under God, will be the duration of the Republic.

Gentlemen, I thank you,

John H. B. Latrobe
November 8, 1854

(NOTE: Footnotes contained in the original text were not reprinted here, but may be obtained by contacting the Mason-Dixon Line Preservation Partnership at email: maxdix@enter.net)